

Overview of the Pee Dee Basin Surface Water Quantity Model

Simplified Water Allocation Model (SWAM)

- Developed as a desktop tool to facilitate regional and statewide water planning and allocation
- SWAM calculates physically and legally available water, diversions, storage, consumption and return flows at user-defined nodes
- From 2014 to 2017, all eight South Carolina surface water quantity models were built in the SWAM platform
- Model updates were performed in 2021



Surface Water Model Access

- Available for download at: <u>http://hydrology.dnr.sc.gov/surface-water-models.html</u>
- Also available for download:
 - SWAM User's Manual
 - Model reports for each basin
 - Supplementary technical memoranda



Overview

Effective water planning and management requires an accurate assessment of the State's surface water resources. To that end, the SCDNR has supported the development of surface-water quantity models that simulate the surface water system for each of the eight major river basins in South Carolina. The modeling platform is the Simplified Water Allocation Model (SWAM), developed by CDM Smith, Inc. These models will be used to evaluate current and future water availability and will support the development of state and regional water plans. Use the links below to access modeling reports and other documentation for each basin's SWAM model and to learn more about how the SWAM models were developed. Surface Water Models SCDNR has publicly released the Simplified Water Allocation Models (SWAM) for the Edisto, Saluda, and Salkehatchie river basins.

ownload SWAM Model

Pee Dee Basin Surface Water Model Overview

Water Allocation Modeling is:

- Water balance calculations of physical flow
- Water rights calculations of legally available flow
- Demands, withdrawals, and return flows
- Reservoir storage
- Stream networks, multiple "nodes"
- Data intensive



Pee Dee Surface Water Model Overview

Water Allocation Modeling *is not*:

- Rainfall-runoff calculations
- Hydrologic routing calculations
- Groundwater hydrology modeling
- Water quality modeling



In Support of Pee Dee River Basin Planning, the Model Will be Used to:

- Assess current supply availability and shortages across a range of hydrologic conditions (1929 through 2018)
- Assess a range of future potential scenarios with respect to changes in growth
- Assess potential impacts of a "full allocation" scenario
- Test, evaluate and help prioritize water management strategies



Pee Dee Model Inputs and Supporting Information

- USGS daily flow records
- Historical operational data
 - Withdrawals (municipal, industrial, thermoelectric, agricultural, golf courses, hatcheries)
 - Wastewater discharges and return flows
 - Transfers in and out of the basin
- Reservoir characteristics and operating rules
- Subbasin characteristics
 - Drainage area, land use, and slope



USGS Gage Timeline – Pee Dee River Basin

Timeline of Daily Flow Records in the Pee Dee Basin



USGS Gage Timeline – Pee Dee River Basin

Filling in Gaps in Flow Data



Extended Timeseries for PDE11 (Black Creek near McBee Gage)





Primary Tributaries









Municipal, Industrial, and Thermoelectric Withdrawals



Agriculture Withdrawals



Golf Course Withdrawals



Discharges to Surface Water



Legend

Significant Dischargers

 Not Included, Insignificant

Included, No

- Withdrawal Permit or Registration
 - Included, Has GW
- Withdrawal Registration
- Included, Has SW
 Withdrawal Permit

Included, Has both SW Withdrawal

Permit & GW
 Withdrawal
 Registration







---- Primary

Secondary







SWAM Calculations: Supply

Physically available flow is a function of:

- upstream tributary inflows,
- reach gains and losses,
- upstream diversions, withdrawals, returns, and storage

Tributary Name		Monthly	Month	Year
Hanging Rock Creek		Flow (CFS)	(MMM)	(YYYY)
		61.06	Oct	1929
		21.24	Nov	1929
Confluence Strea	4	35.81	Dec	1929
Little Lynches River		31.60	Jan	1930
		27.60	Feb	1930
- Spatial Flow Changes		16.52	Mar	1930
Subbasin Flow		9.90	Apr	1930
end mile: 1.4		5.68	May	1930
6 store 1 75 2		4.55	Jun	1930
		4.12	Jul	1930
Tempo		3.26	Aug	1930
		4.70	Sep	1930
Comments: UIF ID PD		2.64	Oct	1930
		8.79	Nov	1930
		15.70	Dec	1930
		22.83	Jan	1931

Tributary			
Tributary Name: Hanging Rock Creek	Delete Tributary		Headwater Flows
Confluence Stream:	Confluence Location 11.3 (mi)		
Subbasin Flow Fact	ors (unitless)		
end mile: 1.4 5	0 0 0		
factor: 1.75 2.2	0 0 0		
Temporally	Variable Factors		
Comments: UIF ID PDE204.			
		Save	Close

 \times



SWAM Calculations: Supply

IR: O'Tuel

IMP:

Whites Creek Naked Creek

- Legally available flow is a function of:
 - Permit limits / water rights
 - Storage rights
 - Minimum Instream flow requirements

 \times

Save

Close

Downstream priority water uses



SWAM Calculations: Demand

- WS: User Object:
 - Node based withdrawals and returns
 - Municipal water demands (prescribed monthly mean)

WS: Florence

Marchland	Distribution	1 Source Water 2	- Source Water		- Source Water 5 Return Hows	
- Monthly User	Distribution —	— Annual Baseline (Jsage	- Input F	ormat	
• Manua	I	Total Use	(] ⊡ mo	nthly means	
<u>О м&</u> т		5184.68	Distribute			
C • • •		(MCV)			leseries	
Agricul	lture	(MOT)				
- Monthly Basel	ine Usage					
Month	Monthly	% Indoor	96 CU	96 CU		
MOLULI	Monthly	% Indoor	-% CU Indoor	% Cu		
lan	12.05	100	10	100		
Feb	13.95	100	19	100	-	
Mar	12.27	100	- 10	100	-	
Apr	13.61	100	11	100	-	
May	14.26	100	21	100		
Jun	15.22	100	29	100		
Jul	15.39	100	30	100		
Aug	15.24	100	31	100		
Sep	14.97	100	30	100		
Oct	14.05	100	25	100		
Nov	13.5	100	23	100		
Dec	13.18	100	17	100		
	(MGD)					

SWAM Calculations: Reservoirs

• Reservoir Object:

 Dynamic water balance, water supply pool, customized operating rules



Reservoir Name: Delete	Storage Capacity	Initial Storage	Dead Pool	© Offline		
ke Robinson - Node	10101	10000	0	• Online		
	(MG)	(MG)	(MG)			
vaporation		Reservoir Operatio	ns		- Flood Control O	utflow
Monthly Mean 🗢 % Volume 🛛 🖲 Input	Timeseries	Receiving S	tream: 🕡	Simple	% Vol	Outflow
Edit T	imeseries	Black Creek	- C	Advanced	0	0
	v Table	Release Loc	ation 38.5	(mi)	100	0
(Simple	C Detailed	User Defined Rel	eases			
<u>ompic</u>	Detailed	Month Min.	Release			
Volume	Area					<u> </u>
0	0	Jan	0			
10101	2250	Mar				
	·	Apr	0			
		May	0			
		Jun	0		1	(050)
	·			L		(CFS)
		Sep	0			
		Oct	0			
		Nov	0			
		Dec	0			
(MG)	(Ac)	(CFS)			

21

Close

SWAM Calculations: Demand

• Ag User Object:

Agricultural water demands (prescribed monthly mean – repeated time series)



Model Calibration

- Calibration performed for multiple sites across wide range of hydrologic conditions
- Key calibration parameters = reach gain/loss factors (hydrology)



2021 Surface Water Model Updates

- Extended baseline hydrology through 2018 (added 5 years)
- Updated monthly mean water demands based on recent water use data
- Updated permit and intake location information
- Removed inactive permittees
- Added new registrations
- Software update



Model Limitations

- Greater uncertainty in predictions for ungaged reaches compared to gaged
- Model not designed for reach routing of flow changes at a daily or subdaily timestep
- Greater uncertainty in supply availability (and "shortage") predictions associated with small stream withdrawals compared to larger river and reservoir withdrawals
 - e.g. offline irrigation ponds
- Baseline model assumes past hydrologic variability is representative of future hydrologic variability (stationary climate)

Areas of greater uncertainty (due to lack of gages)

Pee Dee Basin Surface Water Model Framework



Surface Water Scenarios

Base Scenarios

- Current Surface Water Use Scenario
 - Uses most recent 10-yr average withdrawals (as reported by month)
- Permitted and Registered Surface Water Use Scenario
 - Uses current fully-permitted and registered amounts
- Business-as-Usual Water Demand Projection Scenario
 - Future water demand projection based on moderate growth and normal climate
- High Water-Demand Projection Scenario
 - Future water demand projection based on high growth and hot/dry climate

Additional scenarios may be identified and requested by the RBC

Performance Measures

Assessment of simulation results will focus on quantifying key performance measures for multiple reaches of interest across the basin.

Example / Suggestions:

- Percent change in a monthly minimum flow, 5th percentile flow, mean, and/or median flow
- Percent change in seasonal or monthly flows
- Percent change in surface water supply
- Percent change in mean annual shortage or mean percent shortage
- Change in the number and magnitude of excursions below 20, 30 and 40 percent mean annual daily flows and/or 7Q10 flow
- Change in number of water users experience a shortage
- Change in the average frequency of shortage
- Percent of time recreational facilities were unavailable on a stream reach



Reaches of Interest

Specific stream reaches that may have no identified *Surface Water Shortage* but experience undesired impacts, environmental or otherwise, determined from current or future water-demand scenarios or proposed water management strategies.

Could be related to:

- Recreational flows
- Ecological / in-stream flows
- Designation as a Scenic River

Training

- Training for interested RBC members will be offered in early January
- RBC Preferred Dates?